

Chorley Borough Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Trade Survey



Halcrow has been commissioned by Chorley Borough Council to conduct a hackney carriage unmet demand survey. As part of this study we aim to collect information and views from both trades in order to ensure that we are fully aware of all relevant issues. We would be grateful therefore if you would take the time to complete the following questionnaire and return it to us in the freepost envelope provided. In order for us to incorporate your response in our report we need to receive your completed questionnaire form no later than **6th July 2012**

1	GENERAL OPERAT	TONAL ISSUE	<u>.S</u>							
1a	Which of the follow	ing categories	s describe yo	ur involveme	nt in the taxi ı	narket? (Plea	ase tick <u>ALL</u> a	ppropriate)		
	Hackney Carriage Di Hackney Carriage Ve		vner 2	1	ey Carriage O e Hire Car Ope		3 4	Private Hire Ca Private Hire Ca		5
1b	How many years ha	ive you been i	nvolved with	the Hackney	/Private Hire 1	rade in Chor	ley Borough?	P (Please tick O	NE only)	
	0 - 2 years 3 - 5 years	1 2		6 - 10 years 11 - 15 years	3		16 - 20 years over 20 years			
	<u>IF YOU</u>	DRIVE A VEH	IICLE PLEAS	E ANSWER S	ECTION 2 AN	D 3 OTHERW	ISE GO STRA	AIGHT TO SECT	TION 4	
2	DRIVING									
2a	Which of the follow	ing vehicles o	lo you drive r	nost frequent	tly?(Please tic	k <u>ONE</u> only)				
	Purpose Built Cab Saloon car	1 2		=	eelchair acces wheelchair ac	-	3 4			
2b	How many hours do	o you work in	a TYPICAL W	/EEK?						
2c	Approximately how (Please enter hours i	•	•	•	•	•	•			
		MON	TUES	WED	THURS	FRI	SAT	SUN		
	Day 0600-1800									
	Night 1800-0600									
2d	Do you subscribe t	o a radio circ	uit?	Yes	1		No	2		
2e	Typically how many	times a weel	do you carr	y wheelchair	bound passer	ngers? (Pleas	se tick <u>ONE</u> or	nly)		
	Never 1 to 5	1 2		6 to 10 11 to 20	3	more t	han 20	5		
3	SAFETY AND SECU	<u>JRITY</u>								
3a	Have you been atta	cked by a pas	senger withi	n the last yea	r? (please tick	ALL that are a	appropriate)			
	Physically atta	acked	1		Verbally attac	ked	2	Not atta	cked	3
3b	Do you feel safe wh	ilst working a	s a taxi drive	r in the Borou	ugh of Chorle	y? (Please tio	k ONE only)			
	Yes all of the	time	1		Some of the ti	me	2	None of	the time	3
3с	If you feel unsafe at	t any time is t	nis: (please ti	ck ALL that a	re appropriat	e)				
	In the daytime	e	1 2		In certain area		3			

4	RANKS					
4a	Do you believe there is suffic	cient rank space ava	ilable for hackneys to	use in Chorley Borough?(P	lease choose ONE only)	
	Yes	No	2			
4b	Are there any areas in Chorle	ey Borough where yo	ou consider there sho	uld be new hackney carriage	ranks?	
	Yes	No	2			
	If Yes to 4b, please specify w	where any new ranks	should be. (Please be	e specific and use BLOCK CAI	PITALS)	
	Road Name:			Area:		
4c	Are there any ranks in Chorle	ey Borough that you	consider should be le	onger / have more spaces?(I	Please tick ONE only)	
	Yes	No	2			
	If Yes to 4c, please specify w	hich ranks should b	e longer. (Please be s	pecific and use BLOCK CAPIT	ALS).	
	Road Name:			Area:		
4d	If a rank was provided at the	following locations,	would you use it?	(Tick not applicable if you a	re not a hackney carriage driver)	
	Chorley Station	Yes	, No	Don't know	Not Applicable 4	
	Adlington Station / Village	Yes	, No	2 Don't know	Not Applicable 4	
	Buckshaw Parkway / Village	Yes	, No	2 Don't know	Not Applicable 4	
	Euxton Station / Village	Yes	, No	2 Don't know	Not Applicable 4	
	Croston Station / Village	Yes	, No	Don't know	Not Applicable 4	
	Chorley Hospital	Yes	, No	2 Don't know	Not Applicable 4	
	Eccleston Village	Yes	, No	Don't know	Not Applicable 4	
	If No, why not?					
5	FARES					
5a	Which of the following stater	ments do you agree	with: (Please tick ONE	only)		
	Hackney carriage fares Hackney carriage fares	_	1	Hackney carriage fares are None of the above/ no opini	•	
	riadilley damage lares	are too low		None of the above, no opin	UII	
5b	How often do you think the h	nackney carriage fare	tariff should be incre	eased?		
	Annually 1 Ev	very 2 years	2 In line with	fuel prices 3	Other (please state)	4
6	Annually 1 Ev	very 2 years	2 In line with	fuel prices 3	Other (please state)	4
6 6a	VEHICLES	e licence conditions	requires licensed veh		Other (please state)echanical test set by the Council.	4
	VEHICLES The current hackney carriage	e licence conditions	requires licensed veh			4
	VEHICLES The current hackney carriage There are no vehicle age limit	e licence conditions its. Do you consider Unsatisfa	requires licensed veh this to be: ctory 2			4
	VEHICLES The current hackney carriage There are no vehicle age limit Satisfactory	e licence conditions its. Do you consider Unsatisfa	requires licensed veh this to be: ctory 2			
	VEHICLES The current hackney carriage There are no vehicle age limit Satisfactory If Unsatisfactory, please provide The current private hire licenters.	e licence conditions its. Do you consider Unsatisfal de your reasons in the	requires licensed vehithis to be: ctory 2 box below res licensed vehicles	nicles to pass a 6 monthly me	echanical test set by the Council.	4
6a	VEHICLES The current hackney carriage There are no vehicle age limi Satisfactory If Unsatisfactory, please provid	e licence conditions its. Do you consider Unsatisfal de your reasons in the	requires licensed vehithis to be: ctory 2 box below res licensed vehicles	nicles to pass a 6 monthly me	echanical test set by the Council.	4
6a	VEHICLES The current hackney carriage There are no vehicle age limit Satisfactory If Unsatisfactory, please provide The current private hire licenters.	e licence conditions its. Do you consider Unsatisfal de your reasons in the	requires licensed vehicles of this to be:	nicles to pass a 6 monthly me	echanical test set by the Council.	4
6a	VEHICLES The current hackney carriage There are no vehicle age limi Satisfactory If Unsatisfactory, please provid The current private hire licent There are no vehicle age limi	e licence conditions its. Do you consider Unsatisfa de your reasons in the nce conditions requir its. Do you consider Unsatisfa	requires licensed vehicles to be: ctory 2 box below res licensed vehicles to this to be:	nicles to pass a 6 monthly me	echanical test set by the Council.	4

the application is Do you consider			o approants are require			est.	
Satisfactory	1	Unsatisfacto	ory 2				
If Unsatisfactory, բ	please provide	e your reasons in the bo	ox below				
TAXI MARKET IN	I CHORI FY F	BOROUGH					
	there to be s	ufficient hackney carr	riages to meet the curre	nt level of dem	and in Chorley I	Borough?	
(,	Yes, there	Yes, there are		, not during		
Chorley Centre		are too many	generally suffic	ient all	periods of the da	ay Don't K	now
-					3		4
Borough (outer a	•	1	2		3		4
		y carriages required?				All days and nin	-4
During the	daytime	1	During the evening / nig	gnt	2	All day and nig	nt
What size hackno	ey carriage fl	eet do you consider C	horley Borough should	have? There a	are currently 36.		
		hackney ca	rriages				
-		h Council should remo e number of hackney	ove its numerical limit o carriages?	n the number	of hackney vehic	cle licences and	dallow
						٦	
Yes	1	No	2	No Opinio	n s	3	
Yes	1	No	2	No Opinio	n s	3	
	Borough Co		authorities have chosen	·		on the number	of
Some of Chorley	_	uncil's neighbouring a	2	to remove the	numerical limit		of
Some of Chorley	licences. To	uncil's neighbouring a	authorities have chosen blieve this has impacted Neither Positive no	to remove the	numerical limit		
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle	licences. To	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted	to remove the	numerical limit y carriage mark	et in Chorley?	
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle	licences. To	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be	authorities have chosen blieve this has impacted Neither Positive no	to remove the	numerical limit y carriage mark	et in Chorley?	
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive	licences. To	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be Positive Impact	authorities have chosen blieve this has impacted Neither Positive no	to remove the on the hackne	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact	et in Chorley?	
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive	licences. To	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be Positive Impact	authorities have chosen blieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative	to remove the on the hackne	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact	et in Chorley?	
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive	licences. To	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be Positive Impact	authorities have chosen blieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative	to remove the on the hackne	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact	et in Chorley?	
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive	licences. To	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be Positive Impact	authorities have chosen blieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative	to remove the on the hackne	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact	et in Chorley?	
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	Impact Im	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be Positive Impact ase provide the reasons	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the ca	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark utive Impact 4	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	Impact Im	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be Positive Impact 2 ase provide the reasons	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the ca	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	Impact Impact Inegative please gh Council rerley? (please	Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the ca	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	Impact Impact Inegative please Traffic cor	Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the ca	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council rerley? (please Traffic corres	uncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the case of th	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council rerley? (please Traffic corresses Passenge	puncil's neighbouring a what extent do you be Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion.	authorities have chosen blieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the call the number of hackney can row)	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council rerley? (please Traffic cor Fares Passenge Passenge	Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion r Waiting time at hackner waiting time when flag	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the care now)	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council rerley? (please Traffic corrares Passenge Passenge Passenge	Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion r Waiting time at hackner waiting time when flag remaining time when pre	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the care now)	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council rerley? (please Traffic corrares Passenge Passenge Passenge Hackney \	Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion r Waiting time at hackner waiting time when flag rewaiting time when pre deficie Quality	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the care now)	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark ative Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council rerley? (please Traffic cor Fares Passenge Passenge Passenge Hackney \ Private Hii	Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion r Waiting time at hackner waiting time when flag remaining time when pre	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the care now)	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark utive Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council reriey? (please Traffic cor Fares Passenge Passenge Passenge Hackney \ Private Hill Effectivene	Positive Impact Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion r Waiting time at hackner waiting time when flag reducing time when pre vehicle Quality re Vehicle Quality res of Enforcement	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the case of row) e number of hackney case row) ey ranks ging hackneys booked by telephone	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark utive Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council rerley? (please Traffic corresses Passenge Passenge Passenge Hackney \ Private Hii Effectiven Illegal plyi	Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion r Waiting time at hackner waiting time when pre dehicle Quality re Vehicle Quality res of Enforcement and for hire - private hire	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the case of a row) e number of hackney case row) ey ranks liging hackneys booked by telephone	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark utive Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact
Some of Chorley hackney vehicle Very Positive I	gh Council rerley? (please Traffic corresses Passenge Passenge Passenge Hackney \ Private Hii Effectiven Illegal plyi	Positive Impact Positive Impact ase provide the reasons emoved the limit on the tick ONE box on each agestion r Waiting time at hackner waiting time when flag reading time when pre vehicle Quality re Vehicle Quality ress of Enforcement and for hire - private hire and for hire - unlicensed was when the conditions are the conditions of the conditions	authorities have chosen elieve this has impacted Neither Positive no Negative you believe this is the case of a row) e number of hackney case row) ey ranks liging hackneys booked by telephone	on the hackness Nega	e numerical limit by carriage mark utive Impact elow	et in Chorley? Very Negati	ve Impact

7 TRAINING

	continue on a separate sneet if necessary.			T T		1
)	"There is not enough work to support the current number of hackney carriages"	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
	(Circle the number under the response you agree with most)	1	2	3	4	5
	Please explain your response in the box below (use block capitals)					
	"I have been affected by vehicles from neighbouring authorities			Neither		
1	working within Chorley Borough"	Strongly disagree	Disagree	agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
	(Circle the number under the response you agree with most)	1	2	3	4	5
	Please explain your response in the box below (use block capitals)					
	"Removing the limit on the number of hackney carriages in Chorley would benefit the public by reducing waiting times at ranks"	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
	(Circle the number under the response you agree with most)	1	2	3	4	5
	"There are special circumstances in Chorley that make the retention of the numerical limit essential"	Strongly		Neither agree nor		Strongly
	(Circle the number under the response you agree with most)	disagree 1	Disagree 2	disagree 3	Agree 4	agree 5
	Please explain your response (use block capitals)		-			
	If the authority removed the numerical limit the effect on me would be	(Please tick as	appropriate)			
	I would continue as normal (no change)	` 	d expect to wo	rk more hours		2
	I would expect to work fewer hours	з I would	d acquire a ha	ckney vehicle li	cence	4
	I would acquire more than one hackney vehicle licence	5 I would	d switch from h	nackney to priva	ate hire	6
	,	_		•		
	i would switch from private file to flackney	7 I WOUIG	d leave the tra	ue		8
	I would switch from private hire to hackney Other (please tick and specify below)	I WOUL	u leave the tra	ue		8

Please respond to the following statements by indicating the extent to which you agree/disagree, please be as specific as you can,

Many thanks for your time in completing this questionnaire.

Please return in the pre-paid envelope by 6th July 2012

Halcrow Group Limited

Arndale House, Otley Road, Headingley, Leeds LS6 2UL tel 0113 220 8220 fax 0113 274 2924 halcrow.com



Technical note

ProjectChorley Unmet Demand Study 2012Date04 August 2012SubjectTrade Survey ResultsRefGTXCHO000

Author Katie Dixon/Pam Murray

1 Introduction

A hackney carriage and private hire trade survey was designed with the aim of collecting information and views from both trades. In particular the survey allowed an assessment of operational issues and views of the hackney carriage market to supplement the rank observations, as well as covering enforcement and disability issues.

2 Survey Administration

The survey was conducted through a self completion questionnaire. These were sent to 281 licensed hackney carriage and private hire operators. A total of 42 questionnaire forms were completed and returned, giving a response rate of around 14.95%, a typical response rate for this type of survey. It should be noted that not all totals sum to the total number of respondents per trade group as some respondents failed to answer all of the questions.

3 General Operational Issues

The responses have been disaggregated on a hackney carriage and private hire trade basis as shown in Table 3.1 below.

 Table 3.1
 Breakdown of responses between trades

	Frequency	Percent
Hackney Carriage Trade	23	54.8
Private Hire Trade	19	45.2
Total	42	100.0

It should be noted that 3 (13.0%) of the 23 hackney respondents were also private hire car drivers.

Both trades were asked how long they have been involved in the taxi trade in Chorley Borough. The results in Table 3.2 below show for the hackney carriage trade, almost a half (47.8%) had been involved for between 6-10 years. Of the private hire respondents the majority (61.2%) had been involved in the trade for over 10 years.



Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 2 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Table 3.2 Involvement in the taxi trade in Chorley Borough

Years	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	
0-2	2	8.7	1	5.6	
3-5	4	17.4	3	16.7	
6-10	11	47.8	3	16.7	
11-15	1	4.3	5	27.8	
16-20	1	4.3	1	5.6	
Over 20	4	17.4	5	27.8	
Total	23	100.0	18	100.0	

4 Driving

Respondents were asked what type of vehicle they drive most frequently. The results are shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Vehicle type driven most often

	Hackne	ey Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	
Purpose built cab	2	9.5	0	0.0	
Saloon car	15	71.4	16	84.2	
Minibus/People carrier (wheelchair accessible)	4	19.0	1	5.3	
Minibus/People carrier (not wheelchair accessible)	0	0.0	2	10.5	
Total	21	100.0	19	100.0	

Respondents were asked the average number of hours they worked in a typical week. The hackney carriage trade stated they worked on average 45.26 hours per week, whilst the private hire trade stated they worked on average 43.84 hours per week.

Respondents were asked to state how many hours they worked at different times of day during a typical week. Table 4.2 documents the average hours worked during the daytime period (0600 – 1800) for each day of the week. It must be noted that the figures given by respondents for the different time periods did not necessarily total the figure they provided for an average week. The results must also be treated with caution due to the relatively low response rate for this question. On average, it shows that the private hire trade work more hours than the hackney carriage trade during the day.

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 3 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Table 4.2 Average daytime hours worked

	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	
Minimum	3	2	3	5	
Average (mean)	5.61	4.83	6.91	7.00	
Maximum	8	8	10	10	

Table 4.3 shows the average number of hours worked during the evening/night period (1800-0600). During the night time period both hackney carriage and private hire trades worked more hours at the weekend than during the week.

Table 4.3 Average night time hours worked

	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	
Minimum	2	3	4	2	
Average (mean)	3.58	6.15	6.21	7.73	
Maximum	8	12	10	12	

Table 4.4 indicates the proportion of the trade who subscribe to a radio circuit. A quarter of hackney carriage respondents subscribe to a radio circuit, while 59% of private hire trade respondents did so.

Table 4.4 Do you subscribe to a radio circuit?

	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	
Yes	5	25.0	10	58.8	
No	15	75.0	7	41.2	
Total	20	100.0	17	100.0	

Respondents were asked to state the number of times they carry wheelchair bound passengers on a weekly basis, Table 4.5 shows the results. Over three quarters (77.8%) of private hire respondents stated that they never carry wheelchair bound passengers in comparison to two thirds (66.7%) of hackney carriage respondents.

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 4 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Table 4.5 Frequency of transport of wheelchair bound passengers

	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Never	14	66.7	14	77.8
1 to 5	5	23.8	4	22.2
6 to 10	0	0.0	0	0.0
11 to 20	2	9.5	0	0.0
More than 20	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	21	100.0	18	100.0

5 Safety and Security

Respondents were asked whether they had been attacked by a passenger in the last year. Table 5.1 details the results.

Table 5.1 Frequency of attacks by passengers within the last year (multiple responses)

	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	
Physically attacked	4	17.4	3	15.8	
Verbally attacked	17	73.9	12	63.2	
Not attacked	6	26.1	6	31.6	

Some 17.4% of the hackney carriage trade and 15.8% of the private hire trade have been physically attacked within the last twelve months, with 73.9% and 63.2% respectively being verbally attacked. Some 26.1% of the hackney carriage trade and 31.6% of the private hire trade have not been attacked in the last twelve months.

The trade were asked if they felt safe whilst working as a taxi driver in Chorley Borough, the results of which are shown below in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2 Do you feel safe whilst working as a taxi driver in Chorley Borough?

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Yes, all of the time	0	0.0	4	21.1
Some of the time	21	95.5	15	78.9
None of the time	1	4.5	0	0.0
Total	22	100.0	19	100.0

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 5 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

The majority of hackney carriage and private hire respondents felt safe most of the time, (95.5% and 78.9%). No hackney respondents felt safe all of the time and 1 hackney respondent did not feel safe any of the time.

Those respondents who felt unsafe working in Chorley Borough were then asked when they felt unsafe. The results are outlined below in Table 5.3. Of those that did feel unsafe working in Chorley, 18.2% of the hackney carriage respondents and 26.3% of private hire respondents stated that they felt unsafe whilst working at night in Chorley Borough. Some 27.3% of hackney carriage respondents and 26.3% of the private hire respondents felt unsafe working in certain areas of Chorley. The area suggested as being unsafe was the town centre.

Table 5.3 When do you feel unsafe working in Chorley Borough? (multiple responses)

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Daytime	0	0.0	0	0.0
Night time	4	18.2	5	26.3
In certain areas	6	27.3	5	26.3

6 Ranks

Members of both trades were asked whether they believe there is sufficient rank space in Chorley. As shown in Table 6.1, almost two thirds (63.6%) of the hackney carriage and private hire trade (63.2%) did not feel there was enough rank space in Chorley Borough.

Table 6.1 Sufficient rank space available for hackneys in Chorley Borough?

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	8	36.4	7	36.8
No	14	63.6	12	63.2
Total	22	100.0	19	100.0

Table 6.2 shows that 21.7% of the hackney carriage trade respondents and 38.9% of the private hire trade respondents stated that there are areas in the borough where there should be new hackney carriage ranks. The trade were asked whether there were any areas where a new rank should be located. The most frequent suggestion was Chorley railway station. Other suggestions included: Church Street, Market Street, Balshaw Lane, Chorley Hospital, St Georges Street and generally in the Town Centre.

Technical note 05 August 2012 Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Table 6.2 Are there any areas where there should be new hackney ranks?

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	5	21.7	7	38.9
No	18	78.3	11	61.1
Total	23	100.0	18	100.0

Respondents were also asked if any existing ranks should be extended. Some 68% of the hackney carriage trade felt this was necessary, compared to just under 40% of the private hire trade. The majority of respondents stated the High Street rank needed extending (18), 2 respondents stated the rail station rank and another the Market Street Rank.

Table 6.3 Are there any ranks which should be extended?

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	15	68.2	7	38.9
No	7	31.8	11	61.1
Total	22	100.0	18	100.0

Respondents were asked if there was a rank at a number of locations would they use it. The results for the hackney respondents are shown in Table 6.4. (Private hire responses are not included here as ranking is not relevant to private hire, though approximately 30% of private hire respondents stated they would use ranks in each of the locations.) If respondents stated they would not use ranks in the suggested locations they were asked to specify why not. Reasons cited included; lack of work, not needed and private hire work.

Table 6.4 Would you use ranks in these locations? (Hackneys)

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Not Applicable
Chorley Station	18	1	3	1
Adlington Station / Village	1	10	4	1
Buckshaw Station / Village	1	10	4	1
Euxton Station / Village	1	10	4	1
Croston Station / Village	0	11	3	1
Chorley Hospital	2	10	3	1
Eccleston Village	1	10	3	1

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 7 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

7 Fares

Members of both trades were asked for their opinions regarding the current level of hackney carriage fares. Table 7.1 indicates the responses. The majority of hackney carriage respondents (95.7%) considered hackney carriage fares to be 'about right'. Of the private hire respondents, some 42.1% believe they are too low with 26.3% believing they are 'about right'.

Respondents were then asked when they thought the fare tariff should be increased. The results are shown in Table 7.2. The results indicate the majority of the private hire trade believe fares should be increased in line with fuel prices. While the hackney carriage trade were split with 43.5% believing fares should be increased annually and 43.5% every two years.

Table 7.1 Opinions relating to hackney carriage fares

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Too high	0	0.0	2	10.5
Too low	0	0.0	8	42.1
About right	22	95.7	5	26.3
None/no opinion	1	4.3	4	21.1
Total	23	100.0	19	100.0

Table 7.2 Opinions relating to fare tariff increase

Years	Hackne	r Trade Private Hire Tra		lire Trade
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Annually	10	43.5	4	22.2
Every 2 years	10	43.5	3	16.7
In line with fuel prices	3	13	11	61.1
Other	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	23	100.0	18	100.0

8 Vehicle Conditions

The trade were asked their opinion on the current hackney carriage and private hire vehicle testing conditions. The current hackney carriage and private hire conditions require licensed vehicles to pass a 6 monthly mechanical test set by the Council and there are no vehicle age limits. Respondents were asked if this was satisfactory or unsatisfactory for hackney carriages and private hire vehicles. The results are shown in Tables 8.1 and 8.2.

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 8 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Table 8.1 Hackney carriage vehicle conditions

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Satisfactory	22	95.7	18	94.7
Unsatisfactory	1	4.3	1	5.3
Total	23	100.0	19	100.0

Just one hackney carriage and one private hire respondent felt the hackney carriage conditions were unsatisfactory. The comments provided stated that there should be an age limit for hackney carriage vehicles with one respondent suggesting ten years. When considering the private hire vehicle conditions, three respondents believed they were unsatisfactory. Comments again included the need for an age limit with ten years being suggested. A further comment was that if brand new cars are licensed the MOT style testing every six months for the first two years is not justified.

Table 8.2 Private hire vehicle conditions

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Satisfactory	17	94.4	17	89.5
Unsatisfactory	1	5.6	2	10.5
Total	18	100.0	19	100.0

9 Training

Before being granted a driving licence all new applicants are required to pass the Driving Standards Agency practical test. An enhanced test is required if the application is to drive a wheelchair accessible vehicle. Both trades were asked whether they felt sufficient driver training was in place before being granted a licence. The results are shown in Table 9.1 and show the majority of the hackney carriage and private hire trades believe the training required is satisfactory.

Table 9.1 Driver training

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Satisfactory	20	87.0	12	63.2
Unsatisfactory	3	13.0	7	36.8
Total	23	100.0	19	100.0

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 9 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Those respondents who felt the training was not satisfactory made the following comments:

- Training not required.
- I have already passed a standard UK driving test and should not need to do another practical test.
- I have already done a practical test to get my UK driving licence.
- Training is good for wheelchair customers, but otherwise is the same as for a car.
- Better knowledge test required.

10 Taxi Market in Chorley

Members of both trades were asked whether they consider there are sufficient hackney carriages to meet the current level of demand in Chorley, both in the town centre and in the outer areas of the borough. Table 10.1 and 10.2 summarises the responses.

Table 10.1 Sufficient hackney carriages to meet the current level of demand in Chorley Town Centre?

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Yes, too many	12	52.2	6	31.6
Yes, sufficient	8	34.8	3	15.8
No, not during all periods of the day	3	13.0	9	47.4
Don't know	0	0.0	1	5.3
Total	23	100.0	19	100.0

Table 10.2 Sufficient hackney carriages to meet the current level of demand across the Borough (outer areas)?

	Hackney Trade		Private F	lire Trade
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Yes, too many	7	36.8	2	11.8
Yes, sufficient	8	42.1	4	23.5
No, not during all periods of the day	1	5.3	9	52.9
Don't know	3	15.8	2	11.8
Total	19	100.0	17	100.0

Over half of hackney carriage respondents believe there are too many hackney carriages in Chorley Town Centre, whereas almost a half of private hire respondents believe there are not sufficient hackney carriages available at all times of day. When considering the outer areas of the borough, the results show

Subject: Trade Survey

that the hackney trade believed there were sufficient or too many vehicles (78.9%), while over a half of private hire respondents believed there were not sufficient during all periods of the day.

Those respondents who did not consider there to be enough hackney carriages at certain times were then asked at which periods more hackney carriages were required. The responses are shown in Table 10.3 and show that the majority of respondents to this question believed that more hackney carriages were required during all day and night.

Table 10.3 When are more hackney carriages required in Chorley?

	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Frequency Percentage		Frequency	Percentage	
During the daytime	0	0.0	2	20.0	
During the evening/night	0	0.0	2	20.0	
All day and night	2	100.0	6	60.0	
Total	2	100.0	10	100.0	

All respondents were asked to state how many hackney carriages there should be in the fleet in the Chorley Borough. The results are detailed in Table 10.4. Of those who responded, 50% of the hackney carriage trade and 23% of the private hire trade felt that the hackney carriage fleet size should be less than 36. The average size of hackney carriage fleet considered for the Chorley was 36 for the hackney carriage trade compared with 41 (with a further 5 stating deregulate/unlimited) cited by the private hire trade.

Table 10.4 Opinion on ideal hackney carriage fleet size in Chorley

	Hackney Trade		Private Hire Trade		
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	
Under 36	9	50.0	3	23.1	
36	6	33.3	1	7.7	
Over 36	3	16.7	9	69.2	
Total	18	100.0	13	100.0	

All respondents were asked to state whether they thought that Chorley Borough Council should remove the numerical limit on the number of hackney carriage vehicles. The responses are detailed in Table 10.5. The majority of respondents from the hackney carriage trade (91.3%) felt that the numerical limit should not be removed in Chorley. In contrast 63.2% of the private hire respondents were of the opinion the limit should be removed.

05 August 2012 Page 11 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Technical note

Table 10.5 Opinion on removing the limit on the number of hackney licences

	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Frequency Percentage		Frequency	Percentage	
Yes	2	8.7	12	63.2	
No	21	91.3	4	21.1	
No opinion	0	0.0	3	15.8	
Total	23	100.0	19	100.0	

Some of Chorley Borough Council's neighbouring authorities have removed the numerical limit on the number of hackney carriage vehicle licences. Respondents were asked to what extent they believe this had impacted on the hackney carriage market in Chorley. The results are shown in Table 10.6. The results show that 47.8% of the hackney carriage and 52.6% of the private hire respondents feel the policy of neighbouring authorities has had a negative or very negative impact on the hackney carriage market in Chorley. Respondents were asked to provide the reasons why they believed there had been a negative impact. The comments given by respondents included:

- Increased competition has caused price wars
- There is no longer enough work, a lack of rank space and working more hours
- Ranks full
- Same expense but earnings have been reduced
- More vehicles are coming into the Borough
- They are taking our work
- More Chorley plates are required / There should not be a limit in Chorley

Table 10.6 Impact of neighbouring authorities licensing policy

	Hackney Trade		Private Hi	re Trade
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Very Positive Impact	2	8.7	3	15.8
Positive Impact	0	0.0	2	10.5
Neither Positive nor Negative Impact	10	43.5	4	21.1
Negative Impact	7	30.4	7	36.8
Very Negative Impact	4	17.4	3	15.8
Total	23	100.0	19	100.0

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 12 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Views were sought from respondents regarding the likely impact on a series of factors if Chorley Borough Council were to remove the limit on hackney carriage licences. The findings are summarised below and presented in Table 10.7.

Traffic Congestion

If the limit were to be removed some 81.8% of the hackney carriage trade believe congestion would increase, whereas 73.7% of the private hire trade felt that there would be no effect on congestion in Chorley.

Fares

Some 81.8% of the hackney carriage trade and 57.9% of the private hire trade felt that any removal of the numerical limit on hackney carriages would have no impact on fares.

Table 10.7 Opinions relating to the impact of de-restriction

	Hackney Trade			Pri	vate Hire Tr	ade
	Inc.	No Effect	Dec.	Inc.	No Effect	Dec.
Traffic congestion	81.8	18.2	0.0	21.1	73.7	5.3
Fares	0.0	81.8	18.2	10.5	57.9	31.6
Passenger waiting time at hackney ranks	4.8	76.2	19.0	10.5	5.3	84.2
Passenger waiting time when flagging hackneys	5.0	80.0	15.0	10.5	15.8	73.7
Passenger waiting time when pre-booked by phone	11.1	66.7	22.2	10.5	36.8	52.6
Hackney vehicle quality	0.0	26.3	73.7	26.3	63.2	10.5
Private hire vehicle quality	5.2	47.4	47.4	36.8	52.6	10.5
Effectiveness of enforcement	5.5	38.9	55.6	22.2	61.1	16.7
Illegal plying for hire – private hire	22.2	66.7	11.1	21.1	15.8	63.2
Illegal plying for hire – unlicensed vehicles	47.4	42.1	10.5	26.3	15.8	57.9
Over ranking	85.7	9.5	4.8	42.1	42.1	15.8
Customer satisfaction	9.5	42.9	47.6	63.2	10.5	26.3

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 13 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Passenger Waiting Times

The majority of hackney carriage trade respondents felt that the removal of the limit on the number of licences in Chorley would have no effect on the passenger waiting times at ranks, by flag down or by telephone. In contrast the majority of private hire respondents felt passenger waiting times would reduce at ranks, by flag down and by telephone booking.

Vehicle Quality

When asked about vehicle quality, the hackney carriage trade felt that the removal of the limit would have a negative impact on quality of hackney carriage, but were divided on their opinion on the quality of private hire vehicles. However, the private hire trade felt that vehicle quality would be unaffected.

Effectiveness of Enforcement

Some 55.6% of the hackney carriage trade felt that the removal of the limit on the number of hackney licences would have a negative impact on the effectiveness of enforcement in Chorley. Just 16.7% of the private hire trade agreed that this would be the case, whilst the majority (61.1%) felt that there would be no impact.

Illegal Plying for Hire

The private hire trade respondents felt removing the numerical limit on the number of hackney licences would reduce the amount of illegal plying by private hire vehicles and unlicensed vehicles. In contrast the hackney carriage trade felt that there would be no effect on plying by private hire vehicles and were divided on plying by unlicensed vehicles with 47.4% believing this would increase and 42.1% believing there would be no effect.

Over Ranking

It was felt by the hackney carriage trade that over ranking would increase as a result of removing the limit on the number of hackney licences. The private hire respondents were divided with 42.1% believing this would increase and 42.1% believing there would be no effect.

Customer Satisfaction

The majority of the hackney carriage trade felt that customer satisfaction would decrease (47.6%) as a result of the limit being removed, whilst 63.2% of the private hire trade felt that it would increase.

All respondents were asked their response to 'There is not enough work to support the current number of hackney carriages'. The results in Table 10.8 show that 47.6% of hackney carriage respondents strongly agree or agree with the statement that there is not enough work to support the current number of hackney carriages. In contrast 55.5% of the private hire respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed.

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 14 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Table 10.8 Opinion of 'There is not enough work to support the current number of hackney carriages'?

	Hackney Trade		Private H	lire Trade
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Strongly disagree	4	19.0	6	33.3
Disagree	3	14.3	4	22.2
Neither agree or disagree	4	19.0	4	22.2
Agree	2	9.5	2	11.1
Strongly agree	8	38.1	2	11.1
Total	21	100.0	18	100.0

Some of the most common responses agreeing with the statement included:

- Drivers wait hours to get a fare
- More vehicles will reduce driver earnings
- The recession has badly effected the trade in Chorley
- People can easily get a taxi at all times of day
- There is no space on the ranks

There were also comments disagreeing with the statement and these included:

- There is plenty of work out there if you want it
- Some passengers have to wait for hackneys
- Private hires often take bookings picking up near the hackney ranks at peak times showing there
 is demand
- If the hackney drivers were not making any money they would not be there

The survey then asked opinions of the following statement; 'I have been affected by vehicles from neighbouring authorities working within Chorley Borough'. The results in Table 10.9 show that 61.1% of private hire respondents strongly agreed or agreed that they have been affected by vehicles from neighbouring authorities working within Chorley Borough. Just over a third (36.4%) of hackney carriage respondents agreed this was the case.

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 15 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Table 10.9 Opinion on 'I have been affected by vehicles from neighbouring authorities working within Chorley Borough'

	Hackney Trade		Private H	lire Trade
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Strongly disagree	4	18.2	5	27.8
Disagree	1	4.5	0	0.0
Neither agree or disagree	9	40.9	2	11.1
Agree	4	18.2	4	22.2
Strongly agree	4	18.2	7	38.9
Total	22	100.0	18	100.0

Some of the most common responses agreeing with the statement included:

- Drivers are coming in from other areas and taking our fares
- Hackneys from other boroughs are working in Chorley
- People come into Chorley for work and we can't stop it
- More seem to be picking up fares in the Chorley area.
- Since the hackney carriage rule changed we see Rossendale and Wigan plates in Chorley

There were also comments disagreeing with the statement and these included:

- Never been affected by this
- Never spotted other drivers coming into Chorley for work, there isn't enough work for Chorley drivers already.
- Vehicles in neighbouring authorities are too busy to affect our work.
- Never had any problems with Borough taxis
- Although vehicles licensed in other areas have been seen in Chorley they are always undertaking school contracts or a legal telephone booking, not plying.

The survey then asked opinions of the following statement; 'Removing the limit on the number of hackney carriages in Chorley would benefit the public by reducing waiting times at ranks'. The results in Table 10.10 show that 81.8% of hackney carriage drivers strongly disagreed or disagreed that removing the limit on the number of hackney carriages in Chorley would reduce the public waiting times at ranks, compared with just 16.7% of private hire respondents.

Some of the most common responses disagreeing with the statement included:

- Hackneys have the waiting time not customers
- There is never a queue of customers even at a weekend

Technical note 05 August 2012 Page 16 of 17

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

• Passengers have had no waiting time in years

- Current number of taxis not fully utilised
- There are enough taxis
- Taxis wait for passengers

Table 10.10 Opinion of 'Removing the limit on the number of hackney carriages Chorley would benefit the public by reducing waiting times at ranks'?

	Hackne	Hackney Trade		lire Trade
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Strongly disagree	13	59.1	2	11.1
Disagree	5	22.7	1	5.6
Neither agree or disagree	0	0.0	3	16.7
Agree	0	0.0	6	33.3
Strongly agree	4	18.2	6	33.3
Total	22	100.0	18	100.0

Comments agreeing with the statement included:

- 36 hackneys is not enough at peak times
- It would benefit customers as they want a taxi asap
- It would reduce waiting times on weekends
- The only people to lose out would be those who have paid large amounts of money to transfer licences, not customers.

The survey then asked opinions of the following statement; 'There are special circumstances in Chorley that make the retention of the numerical limit essential'. The results in Table 10.11 show that 54.5% of the hackney carriage trade agree or strongly agree that there are special circumstances in Chorley that make the retention of a numerical limit essential, compared with 6.3% of private hire respondents.

Table 10.11 Opinion of 'There are special circumstances in Chorley that make the retention of the numerical limit essential'?

	Hackney Trade		Private H	lire Trade
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Strongly disagree	3	13.6	7	43.8
Disagree	1	4.5	2	12.5
Neither agree or disagree	6	27.3	6	37.5
Agree	3	13.6	1	6.3
Strongly agree	9	40.9	0	0.0
Total	22	100.0	16	100.0

Project: Chorley Unmet Demand Study

Subject: Trade Survey

Some of the most common responses agreeing with the statement include:

- Because Chorley is small more taxis would be devastating
- Chorley is a ghost town since the recession with shops and pubs closing down
- More taxis would cause congestion and parking problems
- Needed to keep things in control like quality of service

Other comments disagreed with the statement including:

- There is no strong reason, there are many more reasons to remove the limit
- What special circumstances
- Small hackney fleet is only benefiting plate owners not the people of Chorley
- Pandering to owner drivers is not in the public interest

Finally the trade were asked what effect they thought if would have on them if the authority removed the numerical limit on hackney carriages. The results shown in Table 10.12 indicate that 47.8% of hackney carriage responses cited they would work longer hours and 60.9% claim they would leave the trade. Some 68.4% of private hire drivers said they would switch from private hire to hackney carriage. Other comments cited include "I would wait and decide according to the situation at the time" and "already struggling to pay bills so I would leave the trade".

Table 10.12 Effect on the trade if the numerical limit was removed (multiple responses)

Effect of removing the limit	Hackne	y Trade	Private Hire Trade		
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	
No change	6	26.1	6	31.6	
Work more hours	11	47.8	4	21.1	
Work fewer hours	1	4.3	3	15.8	
Acquire a hackney vehicle licence	1	4.3	10	52.6	
Acquire more than one hackney vehicle licence	0	0.0	4	21.1	
Switch from hackney to private	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Switch from private to hackney	0	0.0	13	68.4	
Leave the trade	14	60.9	1	5.3	
Other	2	8.7	0	0.0	